

# FORM 51-102F1 MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2016

### INTRODUCTION

This Management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") reviews the significant activities of IDM Mining Ltd. ("IDM" or the "Company") and its subsidiaries and compares the financial results for the three month period ended January 31, 2016 (the "first quarter 2016") and the comparable period in 2015 (the "first quarter 2015"). This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the first quarter 2015 and the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the years ended October 31, 2015 and 2014, and MD&A's for all relevant periods, which are available on the SEDAR website at <a href="https://www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a>.

All financial information in this document is prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("**IFRS**") and presented in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

The effective date of this MD&A is March 30, 2016.

Some of the statements in this MD&A are forward-looking statements that are subject to risk factors set out in the cautionary note contained herein.

Additional information related to the Company is available for view on SEDAR at <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a> and on the Company's website at <a href="www.idmmining.com">www.idmmining.com</a>.

### DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

IDM is based in Vancouver and was incorporated on July 14, 2009 pursuant to the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) and commenced business at that time. The Company's shares were voluntary delisted from the Toronto Stock Exchange (the "TSX") after close of trading on December 29, 2015 and were listed on TSX Venture Exchange (the "TSXV") on open of trading on December 30, 2015 under the symbol "IDM". On June 6, 2014, the Company changed its name from "Revolution Resources Corp." to "IDM Mining Ltd." and consolidated its issued and outstanding shares on the basis of 6 pre-consolidated shares for one post-consolidated share. All share and per share amounts in this MD&A have been adjusted to reflect the share consolidation.

The Company is an exploration stage company in the business of acquiring, exploring and developing natural resource properties in British Columbia and Yukon. The Company's primary focus is on the high-grade Red Mountain gold project (the "**Project**") located near Stewart, B.C, which recently entered the BC and Canadian environmental assessment process.

## HIGHLIGHTS AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

The following is a summary of highlights and significant events that occurred during first quarter 2016 and up to the date of this MD&A:

- In December 2015, the Company completed a private placement with Oban Mining Corporation ("**Oban**") for gross proceeds of \$1.0 million consisting of 11,111,111 common shares at a price of \$0.09 and makes the second and final pre-production option payment of \$1.0 million to Seabridge Gold.
- In December 2015, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement for gross proceeds of \$1,581,488 consisting of 9,989,800 flow-through units at \$0.11 per flow –through unit and 5,362,333 common units at \$0.09 per common unit. Each common and flow-through unit consisted of one common share and one-half warrant, with each whole warrant exercisable for a period of 24 months at \$0.14 per share. Finder's fees payable in connection with the financing consisted of \$48,485 and 552,939 finder's warrants.
- In January 2016, Mr Gernot Wober, Oban's nominee, was appointed a director.
- In February 2016, the Company closed the remainder of the transaction with Oban and acquired Oban's portfolio of properties located in Yukon. As consideration, the Company issued 7,188,889 common shares and granted a 1% net smelter royalty over the Yukon properties and certain other rights. The Yukon properties consist of approximately 14,500 claims covering 11 project areas consisting of 52 claim groups totaling over 300,000 hectares.
- In February 2016, the Company received its Section 11 Order for the Red Mountain Project from the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office (the "BC EAO"), setting out the scope, requirements, processes, and methods of the provincial environmental assessment ("EA"), as well as the public and Aboriginal consultation procedures.
- In March 2017, the Company completed an evaluation of potential alternative locations for the mill and tailing management facilities for the Project. The Company has selected a preferred location at Bromley Humps due to its lower elevation, water management perspective, no requirement for divergence of any waterways, reduced potential geohazards and the option to mill year —round would be available. Additionally this alternative location has sufficient area for the mill, stockpile and expansion potential for the tailings management facility beyond current requirements. The Company expects to update its current Preliminary Economic Assessment in the second quarter of 2016.

Additional information, including the full news release, can be found on <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a> and the Company's website <a href="www.idmmining.com">www.idmmining.com</a>

#### RESERVES AND RESOURCES

National Instrument 43-101 ("43-101") of the Canadian Securities Administrators – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects – requires that each category of mineral reserves and mineral resources be reported separately. Readers should refer to IDM's continuous disclosure documents available at www.sedar.com for this detailed information, which is subject to the qualifications and notes therein set forth.

#### **PROPERTIES**

## Red Mountain, British Columbia

IDM has an option to earn a 100% interest in the 17,125 hectare Red Mountain Project. It is located in northwestern B.C., 15 kilometers ("**km**") northeast of the town of Stewart. Discovered in 1989, the property was explored extensively until 1996 by Lac Minerals Ltd. and Royal Oak Mines Inc., with 466 diamond drill holes and over 2,000 meters ("**m**") of underground development completed, along with extensive engineering and environmental baseline work. Additional studies were completed over the past 12 years by Seabridge Gold Inc., North American Metals Corp. and Banks Island Gold Ltd.

In April 2014, IDM entered into an option agreement with Seabridge Gold Inc ("**Seabridge**") granting IDM the right to acquire a 100% interest in the Red Mountain Project, subject to certain underlying royalties and gold streams. To acquire 100%, IDM issued 4,955,000 shares, paid \$2.0 million and must incur \$7.5 million in exploration and development expenditures over 3 years (\$2.5 million per year commencing June 2014- \$4.3 million incurred to date).

IDM has the right to extend the deadline of the final \$2.5 million of exploration and development expenditures by one year upon payment of \$250,000 to Seabridge. Upon the commencement of commercial production, the Company will make an additional one-time payment of \$1.5 million to Seabridge. Seabridge will also retain a gold metal stream on the Red Mountain Project, allowing Seabridge to acquire 10% of the annual gold production from the property at a cost of US\$1,000 per ounce up to a maximum of 500,000 ounces produced (50,000 to Seabridge). Alternatively, Seabridge may elect to receive a one-time cash payment of \$4.0 million at the commencement of production in exchange for the buy-back of the gold metal stream.

An Independent Technical Report was prepared by JDS Energy & Mining Incorporated and TS Technical Services Ltd., following the guidelines of the Canadian Securities Administrators National Instrument 43-101 and Form 43-101F1 report, titled "Preliminary Economic Assessment Technical Report, Red Mountain Gold Project, Northwestern, BC, Canada" ("PEA"). The report was filed on SEDAR on September 3, 2014 and can be viewed on the SEDAR website, <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a>, and IDM's website <a href="www.idmmining.com">www.idmmining.com</a>. This report, which includes a revised resource estimate, was authored by Dunham Craig, P.Geo., Scot Klingmann, P.Eng., Gord Doerksen, P.Eng., and Tom Shouldice, P.Eng. who are independent Qualified Persons as defined under National Instrument 43-101.

The PEA is preliminary in nature; it includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and that there is no certainty that the PEA will be realized.

# **Red Mountain Preliminary Economic Assessment Results**

A summary of the PEA results based on a 5% Discount Rate, 0.95 CAD:USD, US\$1,250 per ounce gold price and US\$20 per ounce silver price is as follows. Full details are available in the NI 43-101 Technical Report.

# Key Aspects and Assumptions of the PEA Study:

| Summary of Results    |          |       |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------|-------|--|--|
| Mine Life             | Years    | 5.0   |  |  |
| Resource Mined        | M tonnes | 1.4   |  |  |
| Waste Mined           | M tonnes | 0.0   |  |  |
| Total Mined           | M tonnes | 1.4   |  |  |
| Strip Ratio           | w:o      | 0.0   |  |  |
| Throughput Rate       | Tpd      | 1,022 |  |  |
| Average Au Head Grade | g/t      | 7.25  |  |  |
| Average Ag Head Grade | g/t      | 24.44 |  |  |
| Au Payable            | k oz.    | 277.0 |  |  |
| Tra i ayabic          | k oz./yr | 55.5  |  |  |
| Ag Payable            | k oz.    | 852.0 |  |  |
| 11g 1 ayaote          | k oz./yr | 170.6 |  |  |

## Summary Economics:

| Summary Economics at US\$1,250/oz. gold, US\$20/oz. Silve | er     |         |
|---|--------|---------|
| Total LOM Pre-Tax Free Cash Flow                          | C\$ M  | \$119.4 |
| Average Annual Pre-Tax Free Cash Flow                     | C\$ M  | \$23.9  |
| LOM Income Taxes  | C\$ M  | \$40.1  |
| Total LOM After-Tax Free Cash Flow                        | C\$ M  | \$79.2  |
| Average Annual After-Tax Free Cash Flow                   | C\$ M  | \$15.9  |
| Initial Capital Costs (includes 15% contingency)          | C\$ M  | \$76.1  |
| Discount Rate   | %      | 5%      |
| Pre-Tax NPV   | C\$ M  | \$90.1  |
| Pre-Tax IRR   | %      | 43.3%   |
| Pre-Tax Payback   | Years  | 1.3     |
| After-Tax NPV   | C\$ M  | \$57.6  |
| After-Tax IRR   | %      | 32.9%   |
| After-Tax Payback   | Years  | 1.5     |
|   | US \$* | C \$    |
| Cash Cost (\$/oz.)  | 516.23 | 543.40  |
| Cash Cost (\$/oz.) Net of By Product*                     | 454.73 | 478.66  |

<sup>\*</sup>Exchange rate of \$1.00 equals US\$0.95 was used

### 2014 Resource Estimate

Numerous resource estimates were completed from 1989 to present. During 2000, North American Metal Corp ("NAMC") conducted a detailed review of all data, relogged all core within a 20 m envelope of the mineralized material within the Marc, AV and JW zones and reviewed all exploration holes for potential inclusion into the resource. An extensive quality control and quality assurance ("QA/QC") review was completed on all exploration work and a comparative analysis was performed on drill hole data, underground bulk sampling and geology. The 2000 NAMC resource was reviewed, cross checked and verified for accuracy in May 2014 and is the basis for IDM Mining's revised resource estimate below.

| Mineral Resource Statement for the Red Mountain Gold | d Project at a 3 grams | s per tonne (" <b>g/t</b> | ") Cut-off Grade* |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|  |                        |                           |                   |

| Zone                       | Tonnage   | In situ<br>Gold<br>Grade | In situ<br>Silver<br>Grade | In situ Contained<br>Gold | In situ Contained<br>Silver |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                            | (tonnes)  | g/t                      | g/t                        | (Troy ounces)             | (Troy ounces)               |
| Marc Zone                  |           |                          |                            |                           |                             |
| Measured                   | 651,600   | 9.26                     | 40.06                      | 194,000                   | 839,215                     |
| Indicated                  | 10,800    | 9.71                     | 30.33                      | 3,400                     | 10,477                      |
| Inferred                   | 0         | 0.00                     | 0.00                       | 0                         | 0                           |
| AV Zone                    |           |                          |                            |                           |                             |
| Measured                   | 508,200   | 7.14                     | 20.88                      | 116,700                   | 341,202                     |
| Indicated                  | 283,800   | 7.32                     | 21.03                      | 66,800                    | 191,935                     |
| Inferred                   | 1,800     | 10.96                    | 39.50                      | 600                       | 2,308                       |
| JW Zone                    |           |                          |                            |                           |                             |
| Measured                   |           |                          |                            |                           |                             |
| Indicated                  |           |                          |                            |                           |                             |
| Inferred                   | 331,100   | 7.67                     | 12.57                      | 81,600                    | 133,900                     |
| Total Measured & Indicated | 1,454,300 | 8.15                     | 29.57                      | 380,900                   | 1,382,800                   |
| Total Inferred             | 332,900   | 7.69                     | 12.72                      | 82,300                    | 136,200                     |

<sup>\*3</sup> g/t Au is calculated as the cut-off grade for underground long hole stoping.

The mineral resource estimate, effective date July 23, 2014, was prepared under the supervision of Dunham Craig, P.Geo. using 3D GEMS block modeling software. Resources were estimated from 206 surface and underground drill holes in 4 by 4 by 4 m blocks by ordinary kriging and anisotropic search ellipsoids designed to fit the geology. Grade estimates were based on capped 1.5 m composited assay data. Gold values used in the interpolation runs were top cut to 44 g/t Au, and silver top cut to 220 g/t Ag.

## 2016 Fiscal Activities

The Company continued to advance the Project through the EA process and in February 2016 received the Section 11 Order for the Project from the BC EAO. The Section 11 Order outlines the scope, requirements, processes and methods of the EA, as well as the public and Aboriginal consultation procedures.

The planned two year environmental baseline program in support of the EA process is nearing completion. Baseline studies to date have included: surface and groundwater quality, hydrology, climate, geochemical studies, archeological assessments, geohazards assessments, fisheries and wildlife studies. Following the completion of the 2016 spring and early summer sampling and monitoring program, the baseline environmental studies are expected to be sufficient to meet provincial and federal guidelines, and the data will be incorporated into the provincial and federal EA Applications.

An update to the NI43-101 Resources Estimate and the current PEA for Red Mountain, to include the 2014 and historic drilling results from the 141 Zone, has also been progressing in conjunction with the EA process. In addition, the Company engaged JDS Mining and Energy ("JDS") to analyze and complete trade-off studies for the Project with respect to processing methods, tailing management facility ("TMF") location, mill location, ore transport modality and mine portal location. As a result of this analysis and feedback received from Nisga'a Nation, as well as provincial and federal regulators, the Company has selected an alternative location of the Bromley Humps versus the Red Mountain cirque to build the mill and TMF for the Project.

The Bromley Humps area is preferable due to its lower elevation, water management perspective, no requirement for divergence of any waterways, reduced potential geohazards and the option to mill year—round would be available. Additionally this alternative location has sufficient area for the mill, stockpile and expansion potential for the tailings management facility beyond current requirements.

The Company expects to have completed the update to its current PEA for Red Mountain in the second quarter of 2016 and is targeting completion of a Feasibility Study by the end of this year. The revised PEA will include the alternate mill and TMF location, as well as include an updated NI43-101 resource estimate and will factor in current metal prices and foreign exchange rates.

### 2015 Fiscal Year Activities:

The Company advanced the permitting of the Project by submitting a Project Description to BC EAO and the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (the "Agency") in August 2015. In November 2015, BC EAO and the Agency initiated the EA of the Project under Section 10(1)(c) of the British Columbia *Environmental Assessment Act*.

In addition, the Company continued to advance the environmental baselines program for the Project, as well as First Nation and stakeholder consultation by completing the following:

- surface and groundwater sampling and analysis, geo-hazard assessment, wildlife and fisheries studies and archaeological assessments;
- Socioeconomic studies, First Nation and community engagement;

No field exploration work was completed in the 2015 field season.

## 2014 Fiscal Year Activities - Surface Exploration Work

Surface mapping, along with rock and soil sampling were completed in 2014. This work targeted known showings, as well as reconnaissance work in new areas.

The McAdam zone is located 3,000 m southwest, and 800 m lower in elevation from the Marc and AV zone resource area. This undrilled prospect hosts multiple en echelon shears and quartz-pyrite-molybdenite veins, ranging from 0.2 to 1.5 m in thickness. Located in steep terrain requiring rope-assisted sampling, 102 chip samples were previously collected by Lac Minerals in 1991. A total of 31 of these historic samples assayed over 1.0 g/t Au, averaging 18.7 g/t Au and 56.1 g/t Ag. Individual channel samples included: 0.2 m averaging 103.8 g/t Au, 1.0 meter averaging 24.7 g/t Au and 0.6 m averaging 57.1 g/t Au. Confirmation sampling by IDM crews of quartz-pyrite-molybdenite veins at McAdam returned assay values ranging from 1.5 g/t Au to 36.7 g/t Au. The average of all 42 rock samples collected in the McAdam area is 2.8 g/t Au. The McAdam zone was identified as top priority drill target for IDM's fall 2014 drill campaign.

A further 700 m southwest of the McAdam zone, in an area of glacial retreat that has uncovered newly exposed areas of outcrop; reconnaissance prospecting discovered additional new areas of gold mineralization which has been collectively named the Lost Valley prospect. Two grab samples of pyrite +/- quartz stockwork returned 10.5 g/t Au and 2.2 g/t Au, respectively, 50 meters apart. Twelve other reconnaissance grab samples returned low to anomalous precious metal values.

Recent glacial retreat has exposed a large area of previously unexplored outcrop and rubble, south of the McAdam prospect. New exposure of a quartz monzonite stock (Erin stock) has revealed extensive molybdenite mineralization within quartz vein stockwork. This intrusive measures about one square kilometer in area. Of the 106 grab, subcrop and channel samples collected in the Erin stock area, all samples averaged 0.110% Mo. Individual grab samples of molybdenum rich stockwork, with veins typically 0.5 to 10 centimeters wide, included grades of 1.39%, 1.65 and 1.81% Mo. Stockwork and mineralization is typically strongest within a 25 to 50 meter wide area proximal to the quartz monzonite contact with surrounding hornfels, but molybdenite is common throughout the intrusive.

During the 2014 surface exploration program, a total of twelve diamond drill holes were completed at Red Mountain primarily focused on exploration targets outside of the current resource area. Highest priority targets included: the 141 Zone, Cambria & Uxlux Zones and McAdam Zone. The objective of the drilling at the 141 Zone was to target high-grade underground mineralization similar to the resource area and confirm the presence of wide intervals of lower-grade porphyry-style gold mineralization, similar to other large gold deposits in north western BC. Drill hole MC14-03 accomplished both tasks, intersecting 138 m of 1.41g/t Au including; 7.5 meters of 10.45g/t Au. This hole was completed at 202 meters depth and ended in mineralization. Drill hole MC14-02 was completed at a shallower angle from the same pad, and intersected 84 meters averaging 0.80 g/t Au. Drill hole MC14-01, collared 65 meters west of holes MC14-02 and MC14-03, intersected 60.00 meters averaging 1.16 g/t Au.

Step-out drill hole MC14-005 intersected 9.35 meters true width averaging 6.59 g/t Au, including a 1.70 meter interval of 20.0 g/t Au. This is located approximately 15 meters updip from the JW Zone, the third of a series of en-echelon zones comprise the Resource Area at Red Mountain.

Two new zones were discovered through drilling during the 2014 season. Located near the underground decline, the Marc Zone Extension is a historic prospect with high-grade gold from surface trenching. Previous operators placed development rock from underground development over top of this prospect; drilling by IDM at the edge of the waste rock pad collared into gold mineralization, with MCEX14-01 intersecting 5.50 meters averaging 6.16 g/t Au including 2.0 meters averaging 12.92 g/t Au. A second, steeper hole from the same drill pad MCEX14-02 intersected 4.90 meters averaging 1.69 g/t Au. These two intercepts suggest a potential northerly dip to the mineralized zone. Due to the Marc Zone Extensions' close proximity to existing works, this is a priority follow-up area for future drilling.

Five drill holes were completed in the Cambria zone. Significant new areas of massive and semi-massive sulphide were identified during the 2014 field season. These are newly exposed areas of surface mineralization that were uncovered due to glacial retreat over the past 20 years. The Cambria zone is located 500 meters southeast and on trend with the Marc-AV-JW resource area. Similar to the Marc zone, the Cambria zone hosts a large area of centimeter to several meters wide gold-bearing massive pyrite stockworks and veins. Cut-saw channel samples averaged 10.94 g/t Au over 8.13 meters and 20.31 g/t over 3.40 meters, with mineralization continuing under overburden. Located 150 meters north of Uxlox within a contiguous north-striking structure, the Wyy Lo'oop Zone is another recently exposed outcropping of massive sulphides. A 3.94 meter long channel sample of massive and semi-massive mineralization assayed 10.6 g/t Au.

Drilling tested 200 meters of strike length, encountering stockwork of massive pyrite and pyrrhotite veins. Discovery drill hole CB14-01 intersected 4.39 meters true width averaging 5.67 g/t Au including 0.93 meters true width averaging 13.50 g/t Au starting at 41.3 meters downhole. Other holes intersected strong sulphide mineralization and anomalous gold values, with the Cambria structure wide open for expansion.

In 2014, IDM conducted a sampling program of select drill holes of un-assayed core from drilling completed by Lac Minerals in 1993 and 1994. The objective of the historic drill programs was to target high-grade gold, resulting in many areas of strong alteration and sulphide mineralization remaining unsampled. A total of 68 core samples were submitted, covering un-assayed intervals ranging from 1.0 to 18.2 meters from five holes. Individual samples were typically 1.0 to 1.5 meters in length.

Assay results from the new sampling and revised intervals from the 141 Zone are as follows:

|           | From         | To           | Length       | Au    |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Hole-ID   | ( <b>m</b> ) | ( <b>m</b> ) | ( <b>m</b> ) | (g/t) |
| M93-139   | 167.00       | 326.00       | 159.00       | 0.73  |
| M94-184   | 214.80       | 386.00       | 171.20       | 1.05  |
| Including | 214.80       | 275.00       | 60.20        | 2.28  |
| Or        | 234.80       | 248.50       | 13.70        | 6.26  |
| M94-185*  | 188.20       | 302.70       | 114.50       | 1.38  |
| Including | 188.20       | 245.00       | 56.80        | 2.18  |
| M94-186   | 153.00       | 292.00       | 139.00       | 1.59  |
| Including | 153.00       | 171.00       | 18.00        | 5.45  |
| M94-203   | 373.50       | 470.50       | 97.00        | 1.63  |
| Including | 402.60       | 408.60       | 6.00         | 8.60  |

<sup>\*</sup>Additional un-assayed intervals in this intersection

The mineralized trend of the 141 Zone is subparallel with the Resource Area including the MARC/AV/JW Zones, spanning a broad area at least 325 ms long by 350 m wide and is open for expansion in all directions.

### **About Red Mountain**

Red Mountain is a 14 km² hydrothermal system, within the Stikine terrain. Gold mineralization is associated with and partially hosted within an early to mid-Jurassic multi-phase intrusive complex, with associated volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks and sediments. Many gold mineralized zones occur on the Property, including three mineralized zones with established resource estimates. These mineralized zones have been folded, and are separated by dip-slip fault zones: the Marc, AV and JW zones. They are moderate to steeply dipping, roughly tabular and vary in widths from one to 40 m, averaging about 15 m in thickness. Gold and silver tellurides, and free milling mineralization is associated with stockworks, dissemination and patches of coarse grained pyrite. Alteration facies includes strong quartz-sericite alteration.

Throughout the Property, multiple high-grade areas have been identified through surface sampling and local drilling by previous explorers. Of particular significance, since the vast majority of exploration work was completed on the property during 1996 and prior, glacial retreat has been surrounding known mineralized areas has been very extensive, with up to a kilometer at the south end of the Property. Glacial retreat over the past couple of decades has resulted in discoveries of additional mineralized zones at Seabridge's KSM Project and Pretivm's Valley of the Kings Deposit.

### **Yukon Properties**

In February 2016, the Company acquired Oban's portfolio of Yukon Properties. As consideration, the Company issued 7,188,889 shares and granted a 1% net smelter royalty over the properties and certain other rights to Oban.

The Yukon portfolio consists of approximately 14,500 claims covering over 11 project areas consisting of 52 claim groups totaling over 300,000 hectares. The properties cover multiple tectonic and metallogenic environments as well as a variety of mineral deposit styles common in Yukon. Significant early stage exploration was previously completed, including 158,646 soil samples, airborne geophysical surveys, reconnaissance mapping and sampling, and over 10,000 meters of drilling on two of the projects. The properties are subject to certain underlying royalties,

### OA/OC

The 2014 exploration program at Red Mountain included a rigorous Quality Control/Quality Assurance program, overseen by Rob McLeod, P.Geo, President and CEO of IDM, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101. Drill cores were logged and cut in-half using a diamond saw, with one half placed in sealed bags and shipped to Acme Analytical Labs' sample preparation facility in Smithers, BC, with pulps subsequently shipped to Acme's Lab in Vancouver, BC. 10% of all samples submitted include randomly inserted blank material or multiple quality control standards. An additional 10% of samples will be shipped to a third party analytical lab.

Additional technical information on Red Mountain including the NI43-101 Technical Report can be reviewed at www.IDMmining.com and www.sedar.com.

## **QUALIFIED PERSON**

Technical disclosure for the Company's projects included in this MD&A has been reviewed and approved by Robert McLeod, P. Geo. Mr. McLeod is IDM's CEO and President and a Qualified Person under the meaning of National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects.

# SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION

|                                | Three Month Period Ended |              |              |              |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                | Jan 31, 2016             | Oct 31, 2015 | Jul 31, 2015 | Apr 30, 2015 |
|                                | \$'000                   | \$'000       | \$'000       | \$'000       |
| Total Assets                   | 9,634                    | 7,402        | 7,146        | 6,649        |
| Exploration and Evaluation     |                          |              |              |              |
| Assets                         | 7,891                    | 6,702        | 6,299        | 6,178        |
| Working Capital                | (730)                    | (1,554)      | (1,524)      | (2,408)      |
| Derivative liability           | -                        | -            | -            | 2,750        |
| Shareholders' Equity/(Deficit) | 7,215                    | 5,203        | 4,660        | 1,071        |
| Net Income/(Loss)              | (271)                    | 947          | (1,222)      | 232          |
| Net Income/(Loss) per Share    | 0.00                     | 0.00         | (0.02)       | 0.01         |

|                                | Three Month Period Ended |              |              |              |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                | Jan 31, 2015             | Oct 31, 2014 | Jul 31, 2014 | Apr 30, 2014 |
|                                | \$'000                   | \$'000       | \$'000       | \$'000       |
| Total Assets                   | 7,272                    | 7,533        | 11,227       | 15,691       |
| Exploration and Evaluation     |                          |              |              |              |
| Assets                         | 6,168                    | 5,997        | 9,734        | 15,590       |
| Working Capital                | (2,925)                  | (2,552)      | (1,251)      | (1,768)      |
| Derivative liability           | 3,250                    | 4,750        | 5,000        | 1.000        |
| Shareholders' Equity/(Deficit) | 50                       | (1,246)      | 3,493        | 12,829       |
| Net Income/(Loss)              | 1,296                    | (6,913)      | (14,154)     | (270)        |
| Income/(Loss) per Share        | 0.03                     | (0.17)       | (0.68)       | (0.01)       |

The variability in IDM's net loss over the last the eight quarters resulted primarily from the abandonment and write-off of certain mineral properties, changing levels in capital expenditures, share-based payments, finance charges, and office and administrative expenses. Changing levels in capital expenditures expenses and general and administrative costs fluctuate independently according to exploration activities and corporate activities including shareholder communication.

Significant financial items during previous quarters include:

During the quarter ended October 31, 2014, the Company wrote-down the carrying value of the Champion Hills properties and consequently wrote off associated capitalized costs of \$6,634,445. In addition, the Company wrote off expenditures incurred on the Mexican properties of \$172,134.

During the quarter ended July 31, 2014, the Company elected to abandon the Montana de Oro Properties and consequently wrote off associated capitalized costs of \$9,193,484. The Company also recognized an unrealized loss on the derivative liability of \$4,000,000.

During the quarter ended April 30, 2014, the Company entered into the Red Mountain acquisition option agreement. There were no other significant items during the quarter.

During the quarter ended October 31, 2013 the Company recognized an unrealized loss on the derivative liability of \$1,625,000. The Company also wrote-off an additional \$3,140,588 relating to exploration and evaluation projects the Company has abandoned, of which the majority related to Mexico.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The net loss for the three months ending January 31, 2016 was \$270,705 or \$0.00 per share compared to a net income of \$1,296,934 or \$0.03 for the prior year's comparative figure. The prior period's net income included an unrealized gain on a derivative liability of \$1,500,000. There was no comparable amount in 2016 as the liability was settled in fiscal year 2015.

Significant expenditures or movements include management fees of \$87,164 (2015 - \$57,603) and professional fees of \$85,713 (2015 - \$48,608).

The operating losses are a reflection of the Company's status as non-revenue producing mineral exploration company. As the Company has no main source of income, losses are expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

### **Investing Activities**

Net cash used by the Company in investing activities on exploration and evaluation assets for the three months ended January 31, 2016 was \$1,179,025 (2015 - \$218,894) including the \$1,000,000 paid under the Red Mountain Option Agreement.

### **Financing Activities**

During the three months ended January 31, 2016, the Company received proceeds of \$2,581,488 (2015 – \$Nil) from the issuance of shares and paid share issuance costs of \$98,485 (2015 - \$Nil).

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company does not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

### **Related Party Transactions**

These condensed consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its 100% owned subsidiaries Storgold Resources Ltd (Canada – Inactive), Revolution Resources (NC) Inc. (USA), Minera Revolution, S.A. de C.V. (Mexico) and Minera Golondrina, S. de R.L. de C.V (Mexico).

During the three months ended January 31, 2016, the Company paid or accrued the following amounts as compensation to key management personnel:

- a) Management fees of \$48,000 (2015 \$30,000) to Robert McLeod, CEO and a director of the Company of which \$Nil (2014 \$Nil) was capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets.
- b) Management fees of \$15,000 (2015 \$15,000) to Falkirk Global Management, a company controlled by Michael McPhie, an executive director of the Company.
- c) Management fees of \$22,500 (2015 \$11,250) to Susan Neale, an officer of the Company
- d) Director fees of \$17,000 (2015 \$3,000) to non-executive directors.

Included in accounts payable is \$4,464 (October 31, 2015 - \$24,435) due to directors, officers and companies controlled by directors and officers of the Company.

The Company operates from the premises of a group of public and private companies with a common director. Certain companies provide geological consulting and office and administrative services to the Company and various other public companies. Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$140,177 (October 31, 2015 - \$140,177) due to McLeod Williams Capital Corp, a related private company. During the three months ended January 31, 2016, the Company paid or accrued \$18,942 (2015 - \$20,978) for office and administrative expenditures.

A private company controlled by Michael McPhie, that specializes in government affairs, project permitting and environmental assessment and baseline studies provides these services to public and private companies. During the three month period ended January 31, 2016, the Company paid or accrued \$58,231 (2015 - \$Nil) for these services relating to the environmental baseline studies and permitting of the Red Mountain property.

### **Subsequent Events**

a) In February 2016, the Company acquired from Oban its portfolio of properties located in Yukon. As consideration, the Company issued 7,188,889 common shares and granted a 1% net smelter royalty over the Yukon properties and certain other rights. Pursuant to the agreement, Oban has been granted the following rights for as long as Oban holds at least 10% of the issued shares of the Company, on a non-diluted basis: Oban will (i) be entitled to nominate one director to be put forward on the management slate of directors at any meeting of the Company's shareholders, (ii) have the right to participate in future equity financings by the Company on a pro-rata basis, and (iii) have a 30 day right of first refusal over any sale of a royalty, royalty buy-back, stream, forward, off-take, gold loan or other agreement involving the sale of a similar interest in products that the Company proposes to enter into from time to time, subject to certain exceptions. A Finder's fee in connection with the acquisition of the Yukon properties consisted of a total of \$32,350 and 359,444 finder's warrants.

The Yukon properties consists of approximately 14,500 claims covering 11 project areas consisting of 52 claim groups totaling over 300,000 hectares.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company has no operations that generate cash flow. The Company's future financial success will depend on the discovery of one or more economic mineral deposits. This process can take many years, can consume significant resources and is largely based on factors that are beyond the control of the Company and its management. To date, the Company has financed its activities by the private placement of equity securities, consisting of a combination of flow-through and non-flow-through securities, In order to continue funding their exploration activities and corporate costs, exploration companies are usually reliant on their ongoing ability to raise financing through the sale of equity. This is dependent on positive investor sentiment, which in turn is influenced by a positive climate for the commodities that are being explored for, a company's track record, and the experience and caliber of a company's management. There is no assurance that equity funding will be accessible to the Company at the times and in the amounts required to fund the Company's activities.

### **Cash and Financial Condition**

As at January 31, 2016, the Company had a working capital deficiency of approximately \$0.7 million. Included in the working capital deficiency is the \$1.7 million relating to amounts owing in Mexico. Of this, \$1.3 million relates to Mexican mining property taxes (holding costs) required to keep the properties in good standing. The Company has abandoned all properties in Mexico, is in the process of winding up operations in Mexico and is working with certain vendors to settle outstanding payables with respect to the Mexican operations. Going forward, additional funds will be needed to finance the development of the Company's principal asset and to provide working capital to cover administrative expenses.

The Company has no other debt does not have any unused lines of credit or other arrangements in place to borrow funds, and has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

The Company manages its liquidity risk (i.e., the risk that it will not be able to meet its obligations as they become due) by forecasting cash flows from operations together with its investing and financing activities. Expenditures are adjusted to ensure liabilities can be funded as they become due. Management and the Board of Directors are actively involved in the review, planning, and approval of significant expenditures and commitments.

### **Financial Instruments**

Cash is carried at fair value using a level 1 fair value measurement. The carrying value of short-term investments, receivables, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and Mexican mining taxes and other payables approximate their fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

Fair value estimates of financial instruments are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant information about financial markets and specific financial instruments. As these estimates are subjective in nature, involving uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions can significantly affect estimated fair values

### **OUTLOOK**

The Company's current acquisition, exploration and development activities are focused on precious metals in British Columbia and Yukon, with a primary focus on the high grade underground Red Mountain project, which recently entered the BC and Canadian environmental assessment process.

Dependent on the amount of financing raised, the Company expects to update it PEA in the second quarter of calendar year 2016 which will include the alternative location for the tailing management facility and mill, as well as the updated NI43-101 resource estimate for Red Mountain. In addition, the Company is targeting completion of a feasibility study and filing of an EA application with the regulators by end of calendar year 2016.

While the Company has been successful in the past raising funds, there can be no assurance that the Company will succeed in obtaining additional financing now or in the future.

### **OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA**

As at the date of this report, the Company had an unlimited number of common shares authorized for issuance with 107,301,785 common shares issued and outstanding.

The Company has the following incentive stock options and warrants outstanding at the date of this report:

|               | Number     | Exercise price | Expiry date        |
|---------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|
|               | ranico     | Exercise price | Expiry date        |
| Stock Options | 45,834     | 2.58           | February 1, 2017   |
| •             | 2,850,000  | 0.24           | July 3, 2019       |
|               | 150,000    | 0.30           | October 10, 2019   |
|               | 1,230,000  | 0.11           | April 27, 2020     |
|               | 2,925,000  | 0.10           | October 28, 2020   |
| Warrants      | 130,200    | 0.18           | July 2, 2016       |
|               | 1,565,978  | 0.55           | September 19, 2016 |
|               | 738,250    | 0.50           | September 19, 2016 |
|               | 311,111    | 0.55           | October 10, 2016   |
|               | 256,250    | 0.50           | October 10, 2016   |
|               | 3,471,875  | 0.15           | July 2, 2017       |
|               | 80,000     | 0.18           | July 2, 2017       |
|               | 320,000    | 0.15           | July 20, 2017      |
|               | 2,067,500  | 0.18           | July 20, 2017      |
|               | 20,000,000 | 0.20           | July 31, 2020*     |
|               | 1,250,000  | 0.15           | August 13, 2017    |
|               | 1,503,852  | 0.18           | August 13, 2017    |
|               | 555,555    | 0.10           | December 16, 2016  |
|               | 7,676,067  | 0.14           | December 30, 2017  |
|               | 552,939    | 0.10           | December 30, 2017  |
|               | 359,444    | 0.10           | February 1, 2016   |

<sup>\*</sup> These warrants are subject to an accelerated expiry in the event that the Company's common shares trade at a closing price greater than \$0.40 per share for a period of 20 consecutive trading days. The Company may accelerate the expiry date of the warrants by giving notice via press release; in such case, the warrants will expire in 30 days from the date of notice.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires the Company management to make certain critical accounting estimates, judgements and assumptions about future events that effect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and related notes to the financial statements. It also requires management to exercise judgement in applying the Company's accounting policies. These judgements, estimates and assumptions are based on management's best knowledge of the relevant facts and circumstances taking into account previous experience, but actual results may differ from amounts included in the financial statements.

For a complete discussion of critical accounting estimates, refer to the Company's annual 2015 Management Discussion and Analysis.

#### RISKS AND UNCERTAINITIES

Companies in the exploration stage face a variety of risks and investments are highly speculative. While unable to eliminate all of them, the Company aims at managing and reducing such risks as much as possible. The Company faces a variety of risk factors such as project feasibility and practically, risks related to determining the validity of mineral property title claims, commodities prices and environmental laws and regulations. Management monitors its activities and those factors that could impact them in order to manage risk and make timely decisions.

Readers are referred to the Company's 2015 Annual Information Form, located on SEDAR at <u>www.sedar.com</u>, for a full list of applicable risk factors.

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION AND STAEMENTS

Some of the statements contained in this document constitute forward-looking information within the meaning of the Securities Act (British Columbia), Securities Act (Ontario), Securities Act (Nova Scotia) and the Securities Act (Alberta). Forward-looking information includes disclosure regarding possible or anticipated events, conditions or results of operations which are based on assumptions about future economic conditions and courses of action, and includes future oriented financial information with respect to prospective results of operations or financial position or cash flow that is presented either as a forecast or a projection. Forward-looking information is often, but not always, identified by the use of words such as seek, anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, expect and intend; statements that an event or result is due on or may, will, should, could, or might occur or be achieved; and other similar expressions.

More specifically, forward-looking information contained here may include, without limitation, statements concerning IDM's plans for its mineral property located in British Columbia, Canada, the timing and amount of estimated future production and mine life, expected future prices of minerals, mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates, estimated capital and operating costs of the project, estimated capital pay-back period, estimated asset retirement obligations, timing of development and permitting time lines; all of which involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking information.

Forward-looking information contained here is based on material factors and assumptions and is subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual events or results to differ materially from a conclusion, forecast or projection in the forward-looking information. These include, without limitation, material factors and assumptions relating to, and risks and uncertainties associated with, the availability of financing for activities when required and on acceptable terms, the accuracy of the interpretation of drill results and the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, the geology, grade and continuity of mineral deposits, the consistency of future exploration, development or mining results with our expectations, metal price fluctuations, the achievement and maintenance of planned production rates, the accuracy of component costs of capital and operating cost estimates, current and future environmental and regulatory requirements, favourable governmental relations, the availability of permits and the timeliness of the permitting process, the availability of shipping services, the availability of specialized vehicles and similar equipment, costs of remediation and mitigation, maintenance of title to mineral properties, industrial accidents, equipment breakdowns, contractor's costs, remote site transportation costs, materials costs for remediation, labour disputes, the potential for delays in exploration or development activities, timely completion of future mineral reserve or resource estimates, timely completion of scoping or feasibility studies, the inherent uncertainty of production and cost estimates and the potential for unexpected costs and expenses, commodity price fluctuations, currency fluctuations, continuing global demand for base metals, expectations and beliefs of management and other risks and uncertainties as discussed in our MD&A. Although IDM has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause actions, events or results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate. Should one or more of these risks and uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from any conclusions, forecasts or projections described in the forward-looking information. Accordingly, readers are advised not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information. Except as required under applicable securities legislation, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.